

Assessment of Effect of Port Site and Intraperitoneal Instillation of Bupivacaine in Reduction of Post-Operative Pain After Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

Vikram Singh¹, Nippun Chhakarvarty^{2*}, Umesh Chhabra³, Gopal Singhal⁴

¹Medical Officer, Civil Hospital, Adampur, Hisar, Haryana, India.

²Assistant Professor, ³Associate Professor, ⁴Professor,
Department of Surgery, Maharaja Agrasen Medical College, Agroha, Hisar, Haryana, India.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Effective postoperative pain control is an essential component for care of surgical patients. Inadequate pain control may result in increased morbidity or mortality. Analgesic effects of periportal infiltration of local anesthetics, infiltration of periportal parietal peritoneum, intraperitoneal spraying at subdiaphragmatic space and subhepatic space covering the area of hepatoduodenal ligament have been reported. The present controlled study is aimed at assessing the effect of port site injection and intraperitoneal instillation of bupivacaine in reduction of post-operative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Materials and Methods: The present study was conducted among a group of 180 patients diagnosed to have symptomatic cholelithiasis and who underwent elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy under General Anaesthesia. In group 1, pre-incisional local infiltration of 20ml 0.5% bupivacaine at the port sites and in group 2, local infiltration of 20ml 0.5% bupivacaine at the port sites with intraperitoneal installation were given. In group 3, no local infiltration was done and was treated as control group. Pain assessment is based on a Universal Pain Assessment Tool. The analysis of our study was performed one way ANOVA & Post HOC test (Scheffe's HSD) & Chi square tests as and when indicated.

Results: Mean pain scores at 30 minutes were significantly lower in group 1 & 2 compared to control group but however the values were not significantly different when compared to each other. Similarly the mean pain scores at 4th, 8th, 16th and 24th hours for the preincisional and preclosure group, does not

signify any inter group advantage. Therefore, bupivacaine provide a substantial reduction of pain intensity upto 24 hours postoperatively and was found to be statistically significant. However, timing of anesthesia was found to be statistically insignificant in terms of preclosure vs pre incisional of the port sites.

Conclusion: To conclude, instillation of bupivacaine at the port sites in laparoscopic cholecystectomy irrespective of the timing of instillation is an effective method of achieving pain control in the post-operative period as long as 24 hours after surgery. There was no statistically significant reduction of post-operative pain between the preincisional and pre closure group.

Keywords: Bupivacaine; Cholecystectomy; Mean Pain Scores.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Nippun Chhakarvarty,
Assistant Professor,
Department of Surgery,
Maharaja Agrasen Medical College,
Agroha, Hisar, Haryana, India.

Article History:

Received: 27-07-2019, **Revised:** 23-08-2019, **Accepted:** 19-09-2019

Access this article online	
Website: www.ijmrp.com	Quick Response code 
DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2019.5.5.022	

INTRODUCTION

In this modern era of minimally invasive surgery, the approach of a surgeon is focused more and more towards making the postoperative period as comfortable as possible for the patient. Laparoscopy is an excellent means to minimize the trauma and agony of the patient following surgery, however there still remains some challenge to minimize the post-operative pain in the patients. A recent randomized controlled trial has shown that there may be more intense pain and greater analgesic requirement in

the immediate post-operative period after laparoscopic surgery than open laparotomy.¹ Conventional laparoscopic cholecystectomy involves a 10-mm incision on the umbilicus, a 5 or 10 mm incision in the epigastric or subxiphoid region, and one or two 5 mm incisions in the right upper quadrant.²

Postoperative pain, requiring injectable analgesics is one of the hurdle in performing laparoscopic cholecystectomy as a day care procedure. Pain reaches at maximum level within 6 hours of the

procedure and then gradually decreases over a couple of days, it varies considerably among patients.^{3,4} The international association for the study of pain defines pain as “an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage”.⁵

Effective postoperative pain control is an essential component for care of surgical patients. Inadequate pain control may result in increased morbidity or mortality.⁶ Intraperitoneal instillation of local anesthetics is one of the simple, yet effective, technique for providing pain relief during the early postoperative period after laparoscopic procedures. It was found that the response to intraperitoneal local anesthetics is mediated by local peritoneal effects rather by systemic absorption.⁷

Analgesic effects of periportal infiltration of local anesthetics, infiltration of periportal parietal peritoneum, intraperitoneal spraying at subdiaphragmatic space and subhepatic space covering the area of hepatoduodenal ligament have been reported.⁸ In one of the study it is demonstrate that local anesthetic instillation (bupivacaine) at the end of laparoscopy prevents postoperative pain and dramatically decreases the need for morphine. This technique improves patient comfort, shortens the stay in the postoperative care unit and decrease nursing care in the ward.⁹

When administrated before surgery, infiltration of local anesthetics can decrease analgesic requirement during surgery as well as reduce the need for opioid containing analgesic postoperatively.¹⁰

The present controlled study is aimed at assessing the effect of port site injection and intraperitoneal instillation of bupivacaine in reduction of post-operative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted from April 2017 to March 2018 on a group of 180 patients diagnosed to have symptomatic cholelithiasis and who underwent elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy under General Anaesthesia in Department of Surgery at Maharaja Agrasen Medical College, Agroha, Hisar. The diagnosis of cholelithiasis was made on the basis of clinical examination & ultrasonography. The study was a randomized

controlled in which patients were randomly allocated into 3 groups of 60 patients (group 1, 2 & 3) each. Patients with conversion of laparoscopic cholecystectomy into open cholecystectomy were excluded from the study.

The whole procedure and risks involved were fully explained to the patient and well informed and written consent in vernacular language was taken. In group 1, pre-incisional local infiltration of 20ml 0.5% bupivacaine at the port sites with intraperitoneal installation of 10ml 0.25% bupivacaine was done throughout all the layers of the abdominal wall (till peritoneum). In group 2, local infiltration of 20ml 0.5% bupivacaine at the port sites with intraperitoneal installation of 10ml 0.25% bupivacaine was done after the completion of surgical procedure, and just before the closure of skin. Bupivacaine instillation in both groups 1 & 2 was allocated according to the diameter of the trocar; 7 ml for 10 mm port and 3 ml for 5 mm port and 10ml intraperitoneal over liver site. In group 3, no local infiltration was done and was treated as control group. Pain assessment will be based on a Universal Pain Assessment Tool. The Universal Pain assessment Tool used will be a 10 cm 10 point scale.

Universal Pain Assessment Tool (UPAT) is a combination of three different pain assessment scales viz. Visual analogue scale (VAS), Wong Baker Facial Grimace Scale (WBFGS), and Activity Tolerance Scale (ATS). Universal pain assessment tool is translated into 10 languages and its aim is to describe completely the individual's pain experience. The combination of NRS, verbal description, association between pain and facial expression and individual threshold of pain makes this instrument usable in all age groups. Pain assessment will be based on a Universal Pain Assessment Tool. The Universal Pain assessment Tool used will be a 10 cm 10 point scale;

Score 0: No Pain

Score 1-2: Mild Pain

Score 3-6: Moderate Pain

Score 7-10: Severe Pain

The analysis of our study was performed using statistical analysis tools, initially crosstabs procedure was performed followed by one way ANOVA & Post HOC test (Scheffe’s HSD) & Chi square tests as and when indicated.

Table 1: Gender Distribution amongst three groups

Gender	Group 1 Pre incisional	Group 2 (Pre Closure)	Group 3 (Controls)
Male	12 (20%)	07(11%)	14 (23%)
Female	48 (80%)	53 (89%)	46 (77%)

Table 2: Age wise distribution of patients


Group	N	Range	Age (years) Mean ±SD	ANOVA*	Comparison	P Value*
Group 1	60	19 – 80	44.58±11.42	F = 0.828;	Group 1 vs 2	0.565 ^{NS}
Group 2	60	22-75	43.01±13.56	P = 0.440;	Group 1 vs 3	0.985 ^{NS}
Group 3	60	22-70	42.15±16.36	NS	Group 2 vs 3	0.462 ^{NS}

p > 0.05; Not significant; *p<0.05; Significant

Table 3: Mean UPAT Scores at various time intervals

Time	Group 1 Mean±SD	Group 2 Mean±SD	Group 3 Mean±SD	Gp 1 vs 2 (p value)	Gp 1 vs 3 (p value)	Gp 2 vs 3 (p value)
Baseline (0 Hrs)	4.03±1.02	4.16±1.06	6.96±1.26	0.445	<0.001**	<0.001**
30 Mins	4.10±1.06	4.13±0.86	7.16±1.24	0.072	<0.001**	<0.001**
4 hrs	4.11±1.05	4.05±0.94	7.18±0.86	0.927	<0.001**	<0.001**
8 hrs	4.40±0.98	4.21±1.18	7.10±0.96	0.489	<0.001**	<0.001**
16 hrs	3.58±1.16	3.78±0.96	5.66±1.24	0.603	<0.001**	<0.001**
24 hrs	3.43±0.90	3.55±1.08	4.36±1.18	1.00	<0.001**	<0.001**

**p<0.001; Highly significant



Graph 1: Mean pain scores using UPAT scale at specific time intervals

RESULTS

A total of 18% patients were males and 82% were females. Gender distribution was fairly equal in all the three groups with a higher incidence of female patients in each group (table 1). The age range of patients enrolled in the study was between 19 – 80, mean age being 43.25 years. There was no age related statistical variation. F = .828, p 0.440 (Not Significant) (table 2).

Table 3 and graph 1 shows mean pain scores using UPAT scale at specific time intervals Repeated measure ANOVA and post hoc tests showed that the overall difference in mean pain scores on UPAT scales measured at different time. Intervals post operatively was significant between the group that did not receive bupivacaine (group 1) and those that received (group 1 & 2) with a p value of 0.00. Repeated measure ANOVA Mean pain scores measured at 0 hours alone were highly significant when group 1 & 2 was compared with the control group (p<0.001) However, there was no statistically significant difference in the mean pain score in between the pre incisional and pre closure Group (p=4.55). Mean pain scores at 30 minutes were significantly lower in group 1 & 2 compared to group C but however the values were not significantly different when compared to each other. Similarly the mean pain scores at 4th, 8th, 16th and 24th hours for the preincisional and preclosure group, does not signify any inter group advantage. Therefore, bupivacaine provide a substantial reduction of pain intensity upto 24 hours postoperatively and was found to be statistically significant. However, timing of anesthesia was found to be statistically insignificant in terms of preclosure vs pre incisional of the port sites.

DISCUSSION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is considered as gold standard approach to symptomatic cholelithiasis. Laparoscopy is associated with less pain than laparotomy but it is not pain free. Patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy suffer considerable pain on the day of surgery, frequently requiring analgesics.¹¹

Controversy exists about the principal source of pain after laparoscopic procedure. Some clinicians maintain that placement of trocars through the abdominal wall is the primary source; whereas others believe that most pain arises from intraperitoneal dissection and insufflation of CO2 resulting in distension of abdominal wall and prolonged elevation of diaphragm.¹²

This study was conducted in Department of Surgery at Maharaja Agrasen Medical College, Agroha, Hisar, to assess the effect of port site and intraperitoneal instillation of bupivacaine in reduction of post-operative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

The mean age of patients involved in our study was 43.25 years and this is comparable to an analytical review of 1208 cases by Daradkesh S in which the mean age was reported to be 47.2 years.¹³ In our study, out of 180 patients, 18% were males, and 82% were females and is comparable with study done by Daradkeh S, In his study male population was 23.3% & female population was 76.7%.¹³ In multiple randomized studies, it has been evaluated that size of port is associated with intensity of pain. smaller the port size lesser will be the intensity of pain.

Golder et al¹⁴ failed to show any difference in analgesic effect by replacing one epigastric 10mm port with one 5mm port. On the other hand, Bisgaard et al showed significant reduction in overall

pain for the first three postoperative hours in patients randomized to micro laparoscopic cholecystectomy (three 2mm trocars and one 10 mm trocars) than in traditional laparoscopic cholecystectomy group (two 5mm trocars and two 10mm trocars). In a study by Bredola et al¹⁵ two port technique reduce overall pain during the first 24 hours as compared to traditional four port technique, but one third of patients required conversion to traditional four port laparoscopic cholecystectomy because of technical problem with two ports technique.

Kum et al,¹⁶ randomized patients of laparoscopic cholecystectomy with trocars placed according to French or American technique. There was no difference in intensity of pain and shoulder tip pain between two groups, but pain was predominantly in epigastric region in American group, whereas in the French group pain was predominantly localized mid abdominally. Wallace et al¹⁷ randomized patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy to 15mm Hg or 7.5mm Hg insufflation pressure and found less pain in the low pressure group throughout the first postoperative week. Nasajyan N et al,¹⁸ in a double blind trial studied the use of low pressure gas as compared to standard pressure gas to create pneumoperitoneum. He found that there is no significant reduction in pain both the group. Frequency of shoulder pain in low pressure group was reduced. Low pressure gas was associated with reduction of surgeon visibility and subsequently more prolonged surgery duration. Aitola et al,¹⁹ randomized patients to N₂O or CO₂ Pneumoperitoneum and found significantly less pain upto 24 hours in the N₂O pneumoperitoneum group than in patients given CO₂ insufflation. The mechanism underlying the pain provoking effects of CO₂ (or analgesic effect of N₂O is unknown).

Trocar & port site instillation has been a widely studied topic in pain reduction after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Studies carried out by, Jhonson et al,²⁰ Ke RW et al,²¹ Saff et al,²² Ure et al,²³ Deans et a,²⁴ Maier et al²⁵ compared bupivacaine with saline; procedures included were diagnostic and operative gynecological laparoscopy, cholecystectomy, and hernia repair. The local anesthetic was infiltrated subcutaneously subfascially, or preperitoneally, or into all layers of the abdominal wall, including the cutaneous tissues, muscle and parietal peritoneum. The concentrations used were between 0.125% and 0.5%, and volumes between 5 and 60 ml with a mean dose of 76+/- mg. infiltration of port sites was performed preoperatively at sites two. Only the study by Johnson et al²⁰ showed significant degree of pain control with local anesthetics.

In all these trials no effect on supplemental analgesic consumption was observed. No obvious relationship was apparent between application site, dose of the local anesthetic, and degree of obtained pain relief. Data from results of incisional local anesthetics did not provide evidence for any important effect of port site infiltration, either in the qualitative or in the quantitative analysis. Again, no clear difference and relationships were observed in dose and application sites between positive and negative trials. However, in a recent trial of a large-dose, somato-visceral, local anesthetic block after laparoscopic cholecystectomy, incisional pain, not intraabdominal or shoulder pain, was reduced and incisional pain dominated in the control group.²⁶

In open abdominal procedures, local anesthetics injected at incision site are good for small procedures, such as inguinal hernia repair. In conclusion, there is still no evidence for any major effect of port-site infiltration with local anesthetics. Cook and

Lambert compared effect of post site infiltration plus tubal application of bupivacaine without any other analgesia and found no effect on pain outcome measures.²⁷

On the other hand, three studies comparing infiltration plus intraperitoneal instillation of bupivacaine, mesosalpinx infiltration plus intraperitoneal instillation of lidocaine, or port-site infiltration plus mesosalpinx infiltration and intraperitoneal instillation of ropivacaine with placebo and found significantly reduced pain scores and analgesic consumption up to 4 hours postoperatively or >24 hours after surgery.²⁸⁻³⁰ M. Raetzell et al conclude that the administration of bupivacaine up to a dose of 125 mg intraperitoneally after laparoscopic cholecystectomy fails to provide significant analgesic benefit. In their study they found significant side effects on pulmonary function and oxygen saturation so they did not recommend intraperitoneal local anesthetics for pain therapy after laparoscopy.³¹

A Cochrane review was published in 2014. Reviewing of 26 papers was done on ground of wound infiltration with local anesthetics for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. In the review authors, Loizides S et al³² have concluded that serious adverse events were rare in studies undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy. However, the clinical importance of this reduction in pain is likely to be small. Such trials should include important clinical outcomes such as quality of life and time to return to work in their assessment.

We observed a significant reduction in overall pain scores in the study groups 1 & 2 for up to 24 hours, which is consistent with a previous randomized control trial done by Alexander DJ et al³³ in which post-operative pain scores were significantly lower in group receiving periportal and peritoneal bupivacaine for pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy, as compared to controls.

Hasaniya et al³⁴ studied the effect of preincisional bupivacaine instillation and concluded that the mean pain scores were lower as compared to controls at 4th & 24th hour postoperatively.

Conflicting results have been reported by Ke et al³⁵ stating that the popular practice of infiltrating bupivacaine at time of incision closure does not offer any benefit in the control of pain after laparoscopy.

CONCLUSION

To conclude, instillation of bupivacaine at the port sites in laparoscopic cholecystectomy irrespective of the timing of instillation is an effective method of achieving pain control in the post-operative period as long as 24 hours after surgery. Mean pain scores at 0 hrs, 30 mins, 4hrs, 6hrs, 12hrs & 24 hrs postoperatively were significantly lower in the pre incisional & pre closure groups as compared to the control group, which received no local anesthesia at the port sites. There was no statistically significant reduction of post-operative pain between the preincisional and pre closure group.

REFERENCES

1. Ekstein P., Szold A., Sagie B., Werbin N., Klausner J.M., Weinbroum AA., laparoscopic surgery may be associated with severe pain and high analgesia requirements in the immediate postoperative period. *Annals of surgery* 2006;243 (1):41-6.
2. Bencsath KP, Falk G, Morris-Stiff G, Kroh M, Walsh RM, Chalikhonda S. Single-incision laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Do patients care? *J Gastrointest Surg* of 2012; 16:535-9.

3. Bissgaard T, Klarskov B, Rosenberg J, Kehlet H. Characteristics and prediction of early pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Pain* 2001; 90(3):261-9.
4. Jensen K, Khlet H, Lund CM. Post-operative recovery profile after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a prospective, observational study of a multimodal anaesthetic regime. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2007; 51:464-71.
5. Merskey H, Albe-Fessard DG, Bonica JJ, et al. Pain terms: a list with definitions and notes on usage. Recommended by the IASP Subcommittee on taxonomy. *Pain* 1979;6(3):249-52.
6. Michael A.E. Ramsay. Acute postoperative pain management. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)* 2000; 13(3):244-7.
7. Alexander P, Boddy Bm, Mehta S. The effect of intraperitoneal local anesthesia in laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. *Anesth Analg* 2006;103:682-88.
8. Purkayastha S, Alkhmesi Na, Darzi AW, Intraperitoneal local anaesthesia during laparoscopic choleystectomy: The role of meta-analytical subgroups and delivery of the local anesthetic. *Anesth Analg* 2007;104(4):994.
9. Hazinedaroglu SM, Kayaoglu HA, Ates Y, Erturk S, Butuner C, Turkacapar AG. Intraperitoneal bupivacaine for postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Pain Med* 2006;7:539-41.
10. Pavlin DJ, Chen C, Penaloza DA. Pain is a factor complicating recovery and discharge after ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg* 2003;97:1627-32.
11. Tucker MA, Andrew MF, Ogle SJ, Davison JG. Age-associated change in pain threshold measured by transcutaneous neuronal electrical stimulation. *Age and Ageing* 1989;18(4):241-6.
12. Szem JW, Hydo L A double blind evaluation of intraperitoneal bupivacaine vs saline for the reduction of postoperative pain and nausea after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 1996;10(1):44-8.
13. Daradkesh S. laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Analytical study of 1208 cases. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2005;52(64):1011-4.
14. Golder M, Rhodes M, Short Note: prospective randomized trial of 5 and 10 mm epigastric ports in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br. J Surg* 1998;85:1066-7.
15. Bresdola F, Pasqualucci A, Donini A. elective transumbilical vs standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Eur J Surg* 1999; 165; 29-34.
16. Kum Ck, Eypasch E, Alzaziri A and Troidl H. Randomized comparison of pulmonary function after the 'French' and 'Amrican' techniques of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br. J Surg* 1996;83:938-41.
17. Wallance DH, Serpell MG et al. Randomized trial of different insufflation pressures for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *British Journal of Surgery* 1997;84(4):455-8.
18. Nasajiyani N, Javaherfourosh F, ghomeishi A, Akhondzadeh R, Pazayar F, Hmoonpou N. Comparison of low and standard pressure gas injection at abdominal cavity on postoperative nausea and vomiting in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Pakistan Journal of Med Scie* 2014;30(5):1083-7.
19. Aitola P Airo I, Kaukinen S, Ylitalo P. Comparison of N2O and CO2 pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy with special reference to postoperative pain. *Surg laparoscopic Endosc* 1988;8:140-4.
20. Johnson N, Onwude JL, Player J, Hicks N, Yates A, Bryce F et al. Pain after laparoscopy: an observation study and a randomized trial of local anesthetic. *J Gynecol Surg* 1994;10:129-38.
21. Ke RW, Portera SG, Bagous W, Lincoln SR. A randomized double blinded trial of preemptive analgesia in laparoscopy. *Obstet Gynecol* 1988;92(6):972-5.
22. Saff GN, Marks RA, Kuroda M. Analgesic effect of bupivacaine on extraperitoneal laparoscopic hernia repair. *Anesth Analg* 1998;87(2):377-81.
23. Ure BM, Troidl H, Spangenberg W. Preincisional local anaesthesia with bupivacaine and pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A double-blind randomized clinical trial. *Surg Endosc* 1993;7:482-88.
24. Deans GT, Wilson MS, Brough WA. Controlled trial of preperitoneal local anaesthetic for reducing pain following laparoscopic hernia repair. *Br J Surg* 1998;85:1013-4.
25. Maier C, Broer-Boss F, Kube D, Arp WD. [Wound infiltration with intensity following peiviscopy does not reduce postoperative pain intensity. Results of a placebo-controlled, double-blind study]. *Anaesthesist* 1994;43(8): 547-52.
26. Bisgaard T, Klarskov B. Multiregional local anesthetic infiltration during laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients receiving prophylactic multimodal analgesia: a randomized, double blinded, placebo controlled study. *Anesth Analg* 1999;89(4):1017-24.
27. Cook PT & Lambert TF. An investigation of the effectiveness of bupivacaine applied to the abdominal wall and Falloppian tubes in reducing pain after laparoscopic sterilization. *Anaesth intensive Care* 1986;14(2):148-51.
28. Loughney Ad, Sarma V, Ryall EA. Inraperitoneal bupivacaine for the relief of pain following day case laparoscopy. *Br. J Obstet Gynaecol* 1994;101(5):449-51.
29. Benhamou D et al. Postoperative pain after local anesthetics for laparoscopic sterilization. *Obstet Gynecol* 1994;84(5):877-80.
30. Callesen T, Hjort D, Mogensen T, Schouenborg L et al. Combined field block and i.p. instillation of ropivacaine for pain management after laparoscopic sterilization. *Br J Anaesth* 1999;82:586-90.
31. Raetzell M, Maier C, Wulf H. Intraperitoneal application of bupivacaine during laproscopic cholecystectomy. Risk of benefit? *Anesth Analg* 1995;81(5):967-72.
32. Loizides S, Gurusamy KS et al. Wound infiltration with local anaesthetic agents for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 3. Art No.: CD007049.
33. Alexander DJ, Lee L et al. Randomized trial of periportal peritoneal bupivacaine for pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surg* 1996;83(9):1223-5.
34. Hasaniya N. Zayed F et al. Preinsertion local anesthesia at the trocar site improves perioperative pain and decrease costs of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surg Endosc* 2001;15:962-4.
35. Ke RW, Portera SG, Bagous W, Lincoln SR. A randomized double blinded trial of preemptive analgesia in laparoscopy. *Obstet Gynecol* 1998;92(6):972-5.

Source of Support: Nil. **Conflict of Interest:** None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Vikram Singh, Nippun Chhakravarty, Umesh Chhabra, Gopal Singhal. Assessment of Effect of Port Site and Intraperitoneal Instillation of Bupivacaine in Reduction of Post-Operative Pain After Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy. *Int J Med Res Prof.* 2019 Sept; 5(5):104-08. DOI:10.21276/ijmrp.2019.5.5.022